

Office of the Superintendent of Schools
MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Rockville, Maryland

February 22, 2002

MEMORANDUM

To: Members of the Board of Education

From: Jerry D. Weast, Superintendent of Schools

Subject: Revised Report on the 2001 Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skills (CTBS)

The June 2001 report of the Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skills (CTBS) is being updated to reflect new information received from the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) regarding the calculation of the median percentile ranks for each subtest. The new calculation requirements include scores of students who were previously excluded by MSDE because of extended time accommodations provided through their Individualized Education Program (IEP) or the requirements under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. As a result of the change in calculation, the 2001 results reported for the Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) and individual schools have changed in some subject areas and grade levels (see chart below).

2000-2001 CTBS Mean National Percentile Ranks Updated to Reflect New Calculation
Nation and MCPS, Grades 2, 4, and 6

CTBS Subtest	Nation	MCPS Grade 2			MCPS Grade 4			MCPS Grade 6		
		2000	2001	2001*	2000	2001	2001*	2000	2001	2001*
Reading	50	64	64	64	75	75	67	70	70	64
Language	50	68	68	55	74	74	74	70	70	63
Mathematics	50	70	70	70	78	78	71	77	77	72
Language Mech.	50	77	77	77	68	75	68	69	69	60
Math. Computation	50	68	68	68	71	71	71	67	67	60

* Reflects new median percentile calculation required by MSDE in removing previously mandated exclusion of scores for students with extended time accommodations for IEP and Section 504 requirements.

During the 2001 administration of the CTBS, there were 32,758 students in Grades 2, 4, and 6 who took the exam, of whom 2,707 students (8.2 percent) were given extended time accommodations because of their IEP and 303 students (0.9 percent) who received similar accommodations because of Section 504. MSDE previously had excluded the scores of these students in the calculation of median percentile rank. (The median percentile rank is used for

comparative purposes among schools and school systems for each subtest, including comparisons with national norms.) As earlier reported to the Board of Education, the manner in which the state changed the calculation methodology and informed school districts is a matter of dispute. At this time, the calculation methodology still is not entirely clear. Under MSDE's revised calculation requirements, for example, not all students with accommodations were included. Students who received accommodations for the use of a calculator were excluded for the first time. There has been no explanation from MSDE for the distinction between the two sets of accommodations (extended time and calculators). We are awaiting direction from MSDE about the requirements for the 2002 administration of the CTBS, which takes place next month.

The revised results still demonstrate that the school system continues to perform well above the national data in all of the subtests for language, reading, and mathematics on the CTBS. Nonetheless, the impact of the change in median percentile ranks has the effect of lowering some results by as many as 13 points (Grade 2 language). Conversely, in several instances the results stayed the same or increased. The fact that the changes are due to a difference in calculation methodology means that the revised median percentile ranks for 2001 become the benchmarks for future comparisons.

Attached are the revised CTBS results for each individual school. Principals had received previously the individual student results, which are not affected by the calculation of the median percentile ranks. Individual student reports that were mailed earlier this year contained comparative data, including the median percentile ranks based on the original report. Due to budgetary constraints and the lateness of the new information from MSDE about the change in calculation, the individual student reports will not be revised this year. The individual student reports for 2002 will contain the new information, with an appropriate explanation.

The CTBS remains a fundamental component of the overall accountability program for Montgomery County, especially given the controversy regarding the Maryland School Performance Assessment Program (MSPAP). The new method for calculating the final scores does not change the usefulness of the assessment in providing students, parents, teachers, and principals with individual student data about important instructional areas at pivotal points in the educational process.

I will continue to keep you informed.

JDW:kmy

Attachment

Copy to:

Executive Staff

Principals

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
ASHBURTON ES	2	2000	85	55	85	55	85	65	85	70	84	68
		2001	61	82	61	82	61	94	61	87	61	90
		2001*	70	73	70	82	70	94	70	79	69	83
	4	2000	67	84	67	90	66	82	67	85	67	87
		2001	59	84	59	82	59	75	59	85	59	80
		2001*	73	75	73	82	73	75	73	78	68	71
BANNOCKBURN ES	2	2000	76	82	76	82	76	94	76	87	76	83
		2001	56	90	56	95	56	94	56	87	56	90
		2001*	57	90	57	95	57	94	57	87	57	90
	4	2000	48	84	48	90	48	89	48	97	48	94
		2001	51	84	51	82	51	82	51	91	51	87
		2001*	60	75	60	82	60	82	60	85	54	87
LUCY V. BARNESLEY ES	2	2000	76	73	76	68	76	77	76	79	76	76
		2001	69	73	69	68	69	77	69	79	69	76
		2001*	71	73	70	68	71	77	70	75	70	76
	4	2000	120	84	120	90	120	89	120	91	119	87
		2001	114	84	114	82	114	89	114	91	114	87
		2001*	125	84	125	82	125	82	125	91	118	87
BEALL ES	2	2000	94	73	94	68	94	77	93	70	93	83
		2001	90	64	90	68	90	87	90	60	90	58
		2001*	95	64	95	68	95	77	95	60	94	58
	4	2000	85	67	85	57	85	61	87	71	87	80
		2001	83	67	83	82	83	61	83	78	83	71
		2001*	91	60	91	74	91	61	91	78	90	71

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
BEL PRE ES	2	2000	142	40	142	55	142	77	142	52	142	68
		2001	123	64	123	68	123	77	123	52	123	58
		2001*	129	64	129	68	129	77	129	52	129	58
BELLS MILL ES	2	2000	56	73	56	82	56	87	56	79	56	90
		2001	82	82	82	82	82	87	82	87	82	90
		2001*	86	82	86	82	85	87	85	87	85	90
	4	2000	64	75	64	74	64	82	64	85	64	87
		2001	76	84	76	82	76	82	76	85	76	80
		2001*	80	84	80	82	79	82	80	85	79	80
BELMONT ES	2	2000	53	73	53	68	50	77	50	60	50	49
		2001	85	73	85	68	85	77	85	70	85	68
		2001*	88	73	88	68	88	65	88	70	86	68
	4	2000	54	75	54	82	54	75	54	85	54	80
		2001	78	84	78	74	78	82	78	85	78	80
		2001*	93	75	93	74	93	75	93	85	91	80
BETHESDA ES	2	2000	49	73	49	82	49	55	49	79	49	83
		2001	54	82	54	68	54	77	53	79	54	90
		2001*	56	82	56	68	56	77	55	79	55	90
	4	2000	75	84	75	90	75	89	75	91	75	87
		2001	55	84	55	90	55	82	55	85	55	62
		2001*	62	84	62	86	61	75	62	82	57	62

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001
 =====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE	
BEVERLY FARMS ES	2	2000	100	82	100	82	100	87	101	79	101	83	
		2001	78	82	78	82	78	94	79	79	79	83	
		2001*	91	82	91	82	91	87	92	70	81	83	
	4	2000	82	84	82	82	82	75	82	91	82	82	87
		2001	91	84	91	82	91	82	91	91	91	91	87
		2001*	106	84	106	82	106	82	105	85	98	87	
BRADLEY HILLS ES	2	2000	59	82	59	68	59	87	58	79	58	83	
		2001	85	90	85	82	85	87	85	94	85	83	
		2001*	89	90	89	82	89	77	89	94	89	83	
	4	2000	56	84	56	82	56	82	56	85	56	87	
		2001	55	84	55	82	55	82	55	85	55	80	
		2001*	65	84	65	82	65	75	65	78	56	80	
BROAD ACRES ES	2	2000	66	34	66	35	23	45	66	35	66	49	
		2001	80	29	80	27	80	36	81	22	81	24	
		2001*	82	29	82	27	82	36	83	22	83	24	
	4	2000	69	41	69	40	67	40	68	33	69	53	
		2001	77	41	77	33	76	40	77	37	77	37	
		2001*	82	41	82	33	81	40	82	37	79	37	
BROOKE GROVE ES	2	2000	88	73	88	68	87	87	88	79	88	83	
		2001	83	73	83	55	82	77	83	79	83	76	
		2001*	89	73	89	55	88	77	89	79	85	76	
	4	2000	82	75	82	74	81	68	82	78	81	71	
		2001	96	67	96	74	95	68	96	71	96	71	
		2001*	114	67	114	65	111	68	115	65	98	71	

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
BROOKHAVEN ES	2	2000	63	47	63	55	61	65	62	43	62	40
		2001	45	47	45	43	45	77	45	43	45	40
		2001*	55	47	55	43	54	60	54	29	49	31
	4	2000	45	60	45	57	.		46	47	46	45
		2001	51	67	51	57	51	53	51	47	51	45
		2001*	61	60	61	49	59	53	59	47	52	45
BROWN STATION ES	2	2000	53	55	53	55	53	77	54	60	54	58
		2001	49	55	49	55	49	65	49	60	49	49
		2001*	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	60	55
	4	2000	52	60	52	65	52	61	52	65	52	62
		2001	45	45	45	57	45	68	45	58	45	45
		2001*	54	45	54	53	54	57	54	50	50	41
BURNING TREE ES	2	2000	77	90	77	82	77	94	77	87	77	90
		2001	75	90	75	82	75	94	75	87	75	83
		2001*	83	90	83	82	83	87	83	87	79	83
	4	2000	81	92	81	90	81	95	81	91	81	94
		2001	67	92	67	96	67	95	67	97	67	94
		2001*	78	92	78	96	78	92	78	91	74	94
BURNT MILLS ES	2	2000	77	40	77	35	77	55	77	43	77	58
		2001	90	40	90	35	90	65	90	43	90	40
		2001*	93	40	93	27	93	65	93	43	93	40
	4	2000	94	54	94	57	90	46	94	53	93	45
		2001	82	49	82	57	82	53	82	53	82	53
		2001*	97	45	97	49	97	53	97	47	83	53

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	MDIAN
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
BURTONSVILLE ES	2	2000	127	64	127	68	127	77	127	60	127	68
		2001	108	73	108	68	108	87	108	60	108	83
		2001*	110	69	110	68	110	87	111	60	110	83
	4	2000	133	75	133	74	133	68	133	65	133	53
		2001	127	75	127	65	127	61	127	78	127	71
		2001*	130	75	130	65	130	61	130	75	130	71
CANDLEWOOD ES	2	2000	59	73	59	82	59	94	59	79	59	90
		2001	61	73	61	68	61	87	61	70	61	76
		2001*	61	73	61	68	61	87	61	70	61	76
	4	2000	68	75	68	74	66	75	68	85	66	87
		2001	61	75	61	74	61	82	61	85	61	87
		2001*	73	75	73	74	73	82	73	78	67	87
CANNON ROAD ES	2	2000	60	55	60	68	59	65	59	60	59	49
		2001	45	55	45	55	45	65	45	43	45	49
		2001*	55	55	55	55	55	65	55	43	48	45
	4	2000	69	60	69	65	68	61	68	58	67	71
		2001	52	67	52	65	52	82	52	71	52	80
		2001*	61	60	61	65	61	75	61	71	56	80
CARDEROCK SPRINGS ES	2	2000	58	90	58	82	58	94	58	87	58	90
		2001	48	90	48	68	48	87	48	70	48	76
		2001*	51	82	51	68	50	87	51	70	50	76
	4	2000	52	92	52	90	52	95	52	97	52	94
		2001	50	84	50	90	50	89	50	85	50	87
		2001*	54	84	54	90	54	89	54	85	54	87

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	MDIAN
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
CASHELL ES	2	2000	70	82	70	68	70	87	70	70	70	76
		2001	48	90	48	82	49	94	47	79	49	83
		2001*	49	90	49	82	50	94	48	79	50	83
	4	2000	73	84	73	82	73	82	73	85	73	80
		2001	71	84	71	82	70	89	71	85	71	80
		2001*	81	84	81	74	80	82	79	85	73	80
CEDAR GROVE ES	2	2000	91	64	91	55	91	55	91	70	91	68
		2001	89	73	89	55	89	87	89	70	89	68
		2001*	93	73	93	55	93	87	92	70	91	68
	4	2000	63	84	63	74	63	82	63	78	63	80
		2001	72	75	72	74	71	68	72	85	72	80
		2001*	82	67	82	65	81	61	80	78	78	71
CHARLES R. DREW ES	2	2000	57	47	57	55	57	65	57	70	57	76
		2001	50	47	50	68	50	77	50	79	50	90
		2001*	58	47	58	55	58	71	58	70	56	90
	4	2000	107	84	107	90	107	89	107	91	107	87
		2001	92	84	92	90	92	89	92	97	92	94
		2001*	101	84	101	90	101	82	101	91	99	94
CHEVY CHASE ES	4	2000	90	84	90	90	88	82	89	91	89	87
		2001	71	84	71	82	69	82	71	85	71	87
		2001*	79	84	79	82	77	75	79	85	74	87

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
CLARKSBURG ES	2	2000	50	64	50	55	50	65	51	60	51	58
		2001	50	64	50	68	50	77	49	70	50	83
		2001*	59	64	59	68	59	77	58	70	53	83
	4	2000	47	60	47	65	46	61	47	71	47	62
		2001	46	75	46	74	46	75	46	85	46	62
		2001*	55	75	55	74	55	68	55	78	51	62
CLEARSPRING ES	2	2000	79	64	79	55	79	65	76	52	77	58
		2001	66	40	66	43	66	65	66	43	66	40
		2001*	69	40	69	43	69	65	69	43	67	40
	4	2000	64	75	64	82	64	68	64	85	63	62
		2001	79	67	79	65	79	61	79	65	79	62
		2001*	90	60	90	57	90	57	90	62	84	62
CLOPPER MILL ES	2	2000	74	55	74	43	74	55	74	60	74	58
		2001	84	29	84	35	82	36	84	22	84	40
		2001*	91	29	91	27	89	36	91	22	89	40
	4	2000	64	60	64	57	64	53	64	58	64	53
		2001	63	54	63	57	60	61	63	58	63	45
		2001*	78	45	78	49	75	53	77	53	75	37
CLOVERLY ES	2	2000	81	82	81	82	81	87	80	87	80	83
		2001	73	82	73	82	73	87	73	87	73	90
		2001*	75	82	75	82	75	87	75	87	74	90
	4	2000	76	75	76	82	76	68	76	91	76	80
		2001	70	75	70	82	70	68	69	91	70	80
		2001*	78	75	78	82	78	68	77	85	78	80

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH	
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	MDIAN	
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	
COLD SPRING ES	2	2000	37	90	37	82	37	77	37	87	37	90	
		2001	55	90	55	68	55	94	55	79	55	94	
		2001*	55	90	55	68	55	94	55	79	55	94	
	4	2000	97	92	97	96	97	89	97	97	97	97	98
		2001	94	92	94	96	94	95	94	97	94	94	94
		2001*	95	92	95	96	95	95	95	97	94	94	94
COLLEGE GARDENS ES	2	2000	81	64	81	55	81	55	81	60	81	58	
		2001	58	73	58	68	58	77	58	87	58	90	
		2001*	61	73	61	68	61	77	60	83	60	90	
	4	2000	74	92	74	82	74	75	73	85	73	71	
		2001	79	67	79	65	79	68	79	71	79	87	
		2001*	82	67	82	65	82	68	82	71	81	87	
CRESTHAVEN ES	2	2000	88	55	88	55	88	77	89	52	89	58	
		2001	90	47	90	43	90	65	90	52	90	49	
		2001*	97	47	97	43	97	65	97	43	91	49	
	4	2000	85	60	85	65	85	68	85	65	85	62	
		2001	101	60	101	65	101	75	101	65	101	62	
		2001*	114	60	114	57	114	68	114	58	108	58	
CAPT. JAMES E. DALY ES	2	2000	105	40	105	35	106	65	108	52	108	40	
		2001	101	47	101	55	101	65	100	52	101	49	
		2001*	107	47	107	55	107	65	105	52	106	49	
	4	2000	86	67	86	65	86	61	87	65	87	62	
		2001	94	60	94	65	93	61	94	58	94	62	
		2001*	107	54	107	65	106	53	107	53	99	53	

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MECH	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	COMP
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
DAMASCUS ES	2	2000	54	64	54	55	54	65	54	70	54	68
		2001	43	73	43	82	43	77	43	70	43	49
		2001*	47	73	47	68	47	77	47	70	45	58
	4	2000	51	75	51	74	51	68	51	78	51	71
		2001	45	84	45	74	45	61	45	71	45	62
		2001*	49	84	49	74	49	61	49	71	46	62
DARNESTOWN ES	2	2000	54	82	54	82	54	87	54	79	54	68
		2001	64	90	64	68	64	87	64	79	64	76
		2001*	66	90	66	68	66	87	66	79	65	76
	4	2000	51	84	51	90	51	82	51	85	51	87
		2001	51	92	51	90	51	82	51	85	51	87
		2001*	58	92	58	90	58	82	58	85	56	87
DIAMOND ES	2	2000	76	73	76	68	76	87	77	79	77	76
		2001	80	64	80	43	80	87	80	70	80	76
		2001*	82	60	82	43	82	87	82	70	80	76
	4	2000	69	67	69	74	69	82	70	78	70	71
		2001	58	75	58	82	58	82	58	78	58	87
		2001*	65	75	65	82	65	75	65	78	60	87
DUFIEF ES	2	2000	70	90	70	82	69	87	69	87	69	90
		2001	55	82	55	82	55	77	55	79	55	94
		2001*	59	82	59	82	59	77	59	79	59	90
	4	2000	61	84	61	90	61	82	61	91	61	87
		2001	66	92	66	82	66	89	66	91	66	87
		2001*	73	92	73	82	73	89	73	91	72	84

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001
 =====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
EAST SILVER SPRING ES	2	2000	105	47	105	43	105	65	105	52	104	49
		2001	84	40	85	35	85	55	85	43	85	40
		2001*	92	37	93	35	93	55	93	43	88	40
FAIRLAND ES	2	2000	85	64	85	55	85	77	85	60	85	76
		2001	80	47	80	35	81	77	80	43	81	58
		2001*	82	47	82	35	83	77	82	43	82	58
	4	2000	94	67	94	65	94	53	93	71	93	80
		2001	96	67	96	57	96	53	96	65	96	71
		2001*	101	67	101	57	101	53	101	65	99	71
FALLSMEAD ES	2	2000	74	73	74	82	74	87	74	87	74	83
		2001	81	82	81	82	81	87	81	87	81	90
		2001*	87	82	87	82	87	87	87	87	87	86
	4	2000	67	84	67	82	67	82	66	85	66	71
		2001	70	84	70	90	70	89	70	85	70	80
		2001*	79	84	79	90	78	89	78	85	75	80
FARMLAND ES	2	2000	88	90	88	82	88	87	87	94	80	94
		2001	87	82	87	82	87	94	87	87	87	90
		2001*	92	82	92	82	92	94	92	87	91	90
	4	2000	64	75	64	82	64	75	64	85	64	87
		2001	52	84	52	90	51	82	52	91	52	87
		2001*	60	88	60	90	59	82	60	91	57	87

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
FIELDS ROAD ES	2	2000	91	73	91	68	91	87	91	79	91	83
		2001	74	64	74	68	74	87	74	79	74	76
		2001*	77	64	77	68	77	87	77	79	76	76
	4	2000	55	75	55	74	55	75	55	85	55	80
		2001	58	75	58	74	58	61	58	65	58	71
		2001*	62	75	62	74	62	61	62	65	62	71
FLOWER HILL ES	2	2000	86	55	86	55	86	77	85	52	85	40
		2001	71	64	71	55	50	77	71	52	71	58
		2001*	75	64	75	55	54	77	75	52	75	58
	4	2000	87	60	87	65	88	61	88	58	88	62
		2001	53	45	53	49	53	53	53	53	53	53
		2001*	89	49	89	49	89	46	89	53	65	45
FLOWER VALLEY ES	2	2000	80	82	80	68	80	87	80	79	80	83
		2001	66	73	66	68	64	77	66	70	66	68
		2001*	69	73	69	68	67	87	69	70	67	68
	4	2000	59	84	59	82	59	82	60	78	59	71
		2001	76	67	76	74	74	75	76	78	76	80
		2001*	82	67	82	74	79	75	82	75	78	80
FOREST KNOLLS ES	2	2000	83	64	83	68	83	77	83	70	83	83
		2001	92	73	92	68	92	87	92	79	92	83
		2001*	97	73	97	68	97	87	96	79	95	83
	4	2000	88	67	88	74	88	68	89	78	89	80
		2001	80	75	80	74	79	82	80	78	80	71
		2001*	89	75	89	74	88	75	88	75	86	71

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	MDIAN
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
FOX CHAPEL ES	2	2000	62	47	62	27	62	65	62	52	62	49
		2001	70	47	70	43	70	65	70	52	70	49
		2001*	77	47	77	43	77	65	77	52	73	49
	4	2000	101	84	101	90	101	82	101	91	101	80
		2001	96	84	96	90	96	82	96	91	96	80
		2001*	115	75	115	90	115	75	115	85	103	80
GAITHERSBURG ES	2	2000	72	40	72	43	72	77	72	60	72	58
		2001	84	34	84	35	84	65	84	43	84	76
		2001*	86	34	86	35	86	65	86	43	86	76
	4	2000	89	45	89	49	89	40	89	53	89	53
		2001	40	45	79	57	79	46	79	47	79	45
		2001*	84	49	84	49	84	46	84	47	79	45
GALWAY ES	2	2000	126	55	126	55	126	87	126	70	126	76
		2001	103	64	103	68	103	94	103	87	103	90
		2001*	108	64	108	68	108	91	108	79	108	87
	4	2000	96	67	96	65	96	53	97	58	97	71
		2001	104	67	104	65	100	61	104	53	104	53
		2001*	115	60	115	65	109	53	115	53	107	53
GARRETT PARK ES	2	2000	58	73	58	82	58	77	59	79	59	68
		2001	66	73	66	82	66	87	66	79	66	76
		2001*	73	73	73	68	72	87	72	79	72	76
	4	2000	76	75	76	82	75	82	76	85	76	71
		2001	65	84	65	90	65	82	65	91	65	87
		2001*	74	84	74	82	74	82	74	85	74	87

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MECH	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	COMP
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
GEORGIAN FOREST ES	2	2000	72	55	72	43	72	55	72	52	72	49
		2001	64	55	64	55	64	87	64	60	64	83
		2001*	66	55	66	55	66	87	66	60	64	83
	4	2000	48	67	48	57	48	61	48	65	48	53
		2001	49	75	49	74	48	61	49	65	49	62
		2001*	62	75	62	65	61	53	62	58	49	62
GERMANTOWN ES	2	2000	65	64	65	55	65	65	65	60	65	58
		2001	55	55	55	43	55	77	55	60	55	58
		2001*	61	47	61	43	61	77	61	60	61	49
	4	2000	61	60	61	65	61	68	61	71	61	53
		2001	74	60	74	65	74	61	74	58	74	45
		2001*	84	57	84	61	84	53	83	53	83	45
GLEN HAVEN ES	2	2000	68	40	68	43	67	65	68	29	68	24
		2001	69	29	69	27	69	45	68	29	69	24
		2001*	77	29	77	27	77	45	76	29	77	24
	4	2000	58	54	58	57	57	46	58	58	58	62
		2001	63	45	63	40	63	46	63	37	63	53
		2001*	68	45	68	40	68	46	68	33	65	45
GLENALLAN ES	2	2000	74	55	74	68	74	65	73	60	73	58
		2001	64	47	64	68	64	77	64	60	64	58
		2001*	75	47	75	55	74	65	74	60	65	68
	4	2000	62	67	62	74	62	53	62	71	62	62
		2001	59	60	59	57	59	61	59	58	59	62
		2001*	78	54	78	49	78	50	78	53	65	62

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MECH	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	COMP
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
GOSHEN ES	2	2000	105	64	105	55	105	77	105	70	105	58
		2001	114	73	114	68	114	87	113	70	114	76
		2001*	118	73	118	68	118	87	117	70	117	76
	4	2000	138	75	138	82	138	82	138	85	138	80
		2001	105	75	105	82	105	82	105	85	106	71
		2001*	117	67	117	74	117	82	117	78	111	62
GREENCASTLE ES	2	2000	114	40	114	43	114	45	116	35	115	68
		2001	101	40	101	35	100	55	101	43	101	58
		2001*	102	40	102	35	102	55	102	43	102	58
	4	2000	110	54	110	57	110	46	110	47	110	53
		2001	92	54	92	49	92	53	92	47	92	45
		2001*	105	54	105	49	105	53	104	42	93	45
GREENWOOD ES	2	2000	105	73	105	68	105	77	104	60	104	58
		2001	90	82	90	82	90	87	90	87	90	76
		2001*	99	82	99	82	99	87	98	79	96	76
	4	2000	76	75	76	82	76	75	76	71	75	71
		2001	85	75	85	74	85	82	85	71	85	62
		2001*	97	75	97	74	97	75	96	71	94	62
HARMONY HILLS ES	2	2000	63	47	63	55	63	77	63	52	63	68
		2001	61	34	61	35	61	55	61	43	61	58
		2001*	68	29	68	35	68	55	68	43	62	58
	4	2000	46	38	46	49	46	40	46	47	46	53
		2001	55	60	55	65	55	53	55	58	55	62
		2001*	67	54	67	57	67	46	67	47	58	67

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MECH	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	COMP
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
HIGHLAND ES	2	2000	99	40	99	43	99	65	99	52	99	58
		2001	88	40	88	43	89	65	88	43	89	49
		2001*	97	40	97	35	97	65	97	35	95	49
	4	2000	87	54	87	57	87	61	87	71	86	71
		2001	87	45	87	49	87	61	87	53	87	62
		2001*	92	45	92	49	92	53	92	53	86	62
HIGHLAND VIEW ES	2	2000	82	64	82	43	83	77	83	60	83	68
		2001	55	34	55	35	55	45	54	60	55	76
		2001*	57	34	57	27	57	45	56	60	56	76
	4	2000	61	60	61	57	61	68	60	71	61	53
		2001	57	67	57	74	56	68	57	65	57	62
		2001*	62	64	62	74	61	68	62	65	58	62
JACKSON ROAD ES	2	2000	56	47	56	55	56	45	57	43	57	40
		2001	64	47	64	43	64	65	64	60	64	58
		2001*	68	47	68	43	68	65	68	60	66	58
	4	2000	82	60	82	57	82	68	82	65	82	62
		2001	71	60	71	49	71	53	71	53	71	53
		2001*	75	60	75	49	75	53	75	53	72	53
JONES LANE ES	2	2000	80	90	80	82	80	87	80	87	80	76
		2001	67	82	67	82	67	94	67	87	67	90
		2001*	74	82	74	82	74	94	74	87	70	90
	4	2000	75	92	75	90	75	82	75	91	75	80
		2001	80	84	80	82	80	82	80	91	80	80
		2001*	92	84	92	82	92	75	90	85	83	80

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
KEMP MILL ES	2	2000	107	47	107	55	107	65	106	52	106	58
		2001	102	40	102	35	102	55	102	43	102	49
		2001*	106	47	106	35	104	55	106	43	104	49
	4	2000	91	67	91	65	91	53	91	58	91	62
		2001	93	54	93	57	93	61	93	53	93	53
		2001*	105	54	105	57	105	53	104	53	98	53
KENSINGTON PARKWOOD ES	2	2000	49	73	49	82	49	77	48	79	49	76
		2001	40	90	40	82	40	87	40	79	40	83
		2001*	52	82	52	82	52	82	52	70	49	76
	4	2000	44	75	44	82	44	75	44	85	44	71
		2001	41	84	41	82	41	89	41	85	41	87
		2001*	58	75	58	82	58	82	58	78	51	87
LAKE SENECA ES	2	2000	52	64	52	68	52	65	52	70	52	76
		2001	49	64	49	55	49	77	49	70	49	83
		2001*	54	64	54	55	54	77	54	70	51	83
	4	2000	65	60	65	65	65	68	65	78	65	71
		2001	47	67	47	65	43	75	47	65	47	71
		2001*	59	67	59	65	54	68	60	65	54	67
LAKEWOOD ES	2	2000	92	82	92	82	92	94	92	87	92	90
		2001	84	82	84	82	84	94	84	87	84	83
		2001*	94	82	94	82	94	94	94	87	90	83
	4	2000	84	84	84	82	85	89	85	85	84	87
		2001	82	84	82	82	82	89	82	91	82	87
		2001*	96	84	96	82	96	82	96	85	91	87

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001
 =====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
LAYTONSVILLE ES	2	2000	91	73	91	68	91	77	91	70	91	58
		2001	87	73	87	68	87	87	87	70	87	58
		2001*	89	73	89	68	89	77	89	70	89	58
	4	2000	82	75	82	82	82	75	82	78	82	80
		2001	78	67	78	65	78	75	78	78	78	71
		2001*	85	67	85	65	85	75	85	71	81	80
LUXMANOR ES	2	2000	38	82	38	82	38	77	38	87	38	90
		2001	39	90	39	82	39	87	39	87	39	83
		2001*	39	90	39	82	39	87	39	87	39	83
	4	2000	50	75	50	74	50	75	50	85	50	87
		2001	40	84	40	82	40	89	40	85	40	87
		2001*	45	84	45	82	45	89	45	85	42	87
MARYVALE ES	2	2000	66	34	66	35	66	45	66	43	66	31
		2001	87	34	87	35	86	36	87	43	87	58
		2001*	90	34	90	35	88	36	88	43	88	58
	4	2000	56	60	56	65	.		56	58	56	53
		2001	64	49	64	49	64	46	64	65	64	45
		2001*	69	49	69	49	69	40	69	58	67	45
S. CHRISTA MCAULIFFE ES	2	2000	111	55	111	55	111	55	111	60	111	58
		2001	116	47	116	55	116	65	116	43	116	49
		2001*	122	47	122	49	120	65	121	43	120	49
	4	2000	95	75	95	74	95	68	94	71	94	71
		2001	87	67	87	65	87	61	87	65	87	62
		2001*	100	60	100	61	99	61	99	58	97	62

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001
 =====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
RONALD MCNAIR ES	2	2000	148	64	148	55	149	87	149	70	149	68
		2001	155	64	155	68	155	87	155	79	155	83
		2001*	160	64	160	68	161	87	161	79	159	83
	4	2000	133	75	133	74	132	75	133	78	133	71
		2001	140	75	140	74	140	75	140	71	140	71
		2001*	152	75	152	74	151	75	152	71	148	71
MEADOW HALL ES	2	2000	50	47	50	55	50	65	50	52	50	40
		2001	60	47	60	55	60	65	60	43	60	58
		2001*	66	47	66	55	66	65	66	43	66	49
	4	2000	62	45	62	65	62	46	62	65	62	53
		2001	51	67	51	74	51	68	51	71	51	71
		2001*	54	64	54	65	54	68	54	68	54	71
MILL CREEK TOWNE ES	2	2000	55	55	55	68	55	65	55	60	55	58
		2001	68	47	68	55	67	65	68	60	68	76
		2001*	75	47	75	55	73	65	75	60	72	80
	4	2000	68	75	68	74	68	75	68	78	68	80
		2001	65	67	65	74	65	75	65	71	65	71
		2001*	75	60	75	65	75	68	75	65	69	71
MONOCACY ES	2	2000	43	82	43	68	43	77	43	70	43	76
		2001	34	55	34	55	34	65	34	52	34	58
		2001*	43	55	43	43	43	65	43	52	43	49
	4	2000	39	84	39	82	39	75	39	91	39	87
		2001	37	75	37	82	37	75	37	71	37	53
		2001*	47	75	47	74	47	75	47	78	44	58

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
MONTGOMERY KNOLLS ES	2	2000	77	55	77	43	77	65	76	60	76	58
		2001	89	47	89	43	89	65	89	52	89	40
		2001*	91	47	91	43	91	65	91	43	90	40
NEW HAMPSHIRE ESTATES ES	2	2000	106	34	106	35	106	55	107	29	107	31
		2001	115	29	115	35	115	45	115	29	115	31
		2001*	119	29	119	27	119	45	119	29	119	31
NORTH CHEVY CHASE ES	4	2000	76	92	76	90	76	89	76	91	76	87
		2001	72	92	72	82	72	82	72	78	72	80
		2001*	73	92	73	82	73	82	73	78	73	80
OAK VIEW ES	4	2000	76	41	76	40	74	40	76	42	76	45
		2001	77	49	77	57	77	53	77	65	77	71
		2001*	87	49	87	57	87	46	87	58	82	71
OAKLAND TERRACE ES	2	2000	100	55	100	55	100	65	101	60	101	58
		2001	112	47	112	43	112	65	112	52	112	58
		2001*	116	47	116	43	115	65	116	52	115	58
	4	2000	108	67	108	74	108	68	107	78	107	62
		2001	93	67	93	74	93	82	93	71	93	71
		2001*	125	67	125	74	124	68	125	65	95	71
OLNEY ES	2	2000	77	64	77	55	77	65	76	70	76	68
		2001	80	55	80	55	80	55	80	60	80	68
		2001*	84	55	84	55	84	60	84	60	84	63
	4	2000	83	75	83	82	83	68	83	78	83	62
		2001	68	75	68	82	68	68	68	71	68	71
		2001*	90	67	90	65	88	68	87	65	82	62

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MECH	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	COMP
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
PINE CREST ES	4	2000	65	60	65	57	65	61	65	58	65	53
		2001	89	67	89	57	89	61	89	53	89	62
		2001*	99	60	99	57	99	61	99	53	97	62
PINEY BRANCH ES	4	2000	179	67	179	65	179	53	179	65	175	53
		2001	153	60	153	65	139	53	153	71	153	53
		2001*	169	60	169	57	154	53	168	65	158	53
POOLESVILLE ES	2	2000	75	64	75	55	75	77	75	70	75	68
		2001	69	73	69	68	69	77	69	70	69	49
		2001*	75	64	75	68	75	65	75	70	75	49
	4	2000	87	3	87	1	87	11	87	4	87	1
		2001	72	75	72	74	72	68	72	85	72	80
		2001*	83	75	83	74	83	68	82	85	77	80
POTOMAC ES	2	2000	102	73	102	82	102	87	102	79	101	76
		2001	107	82	107	82	107	94	107	87	107	83
		2001*	108	82	108	82	107	94	108	87	107	83
	4	2000	94	75	94	82	92	75	94	85	93	87
		2001	117	84	117	82	118	82	118	85	118	80
		2001*	121	84	121	82	122	82	122	85	122	80
RACHEL CARSON ES	2	2000	103	64	103	55	103	77	103	79	103	68
		2001	117	73	117	68	117	77	117	79	117	76
		2001*	123	64	123	68	123	77	122	79	120	76
	4	2000	96	75	96	82	96	68	98	78	98	80
		2001	84	75	84	82	84	68	84	78	84	71
		2001*	98	67	98	78	98	68	98	71	89	62

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
JUDITH A. RESNIK ES	2	2000	112	47	112	43	112	65	112	52	112	58
		2001	92	55	92	55	92	77	92	52	92	68
		2001*	95	55	95	55	95	77	95	52	95	68
	4	2000	81	60	81	65	81	61	81	65	81	53
		2001	76	54	76	57	76	46	76	58	76	45
		2001*	94	54	94	57	94	46	94	56	83	53
RITCHIE PARK ES	2	2000	56	64	56	68	56	77	58	79	58	83
		2001	52	73	53	68	53	87	53	70	53	76
		2001*	55	73	56	62	56	77	56	65	56	72
	4	2000	39	84	39	74	39	82	38	78	38	71
		2001	46	67	46	65	46	68	45	71	46	80
		2001*	49	67	49	65	49	68	48	65	46	80
ROCK CREEK FOREST ES	2	2000	88	73	88	68	88	87	88	70	88	83
		2001	78	82	78	82	78	94	78	87	78	90
		2001*	83	73	83	82	83	94	83	87	83	90
	4	2000	79	67	79	74	56	61	81	78	57	87
		2001	72	84	72	74	72	75	72	71	72	87
		2001*	82	75	82	74	82	75	82	71	81	87
ROCK CREEK VALLEY ES	2	2000	41	47	41	43	40	45	40	52	40	49
		2001	51	55	51	68	51	77	51	60	51	58
		2001*	57	47	57	55	57	77	56	56	53	58
	4	2000	47	60	47	57	46	61	46	71	46	62
		2001	57	84	57	82	57	75	57	91	57	80
		2001*	66	75	66	82	66	68	66	85	63	80

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
ROCK VIEW ES	2	2000	63	55	63	68	63	77	63	60	62	58
		2001	63	55	63	55	63	65	63	43	63	49
		2001*	72	47	72	43	72	55	72	43	72	49
	4	2000	64	60	64	65	64	68	64	71	64	62
		2001	51	54	51	57	51	61	51	58	51	45
		2001*	54	54	54	57	54	61	54	58	54	45
ROCKWELL ES	2	2000	76	73	76	55	77	65	75	70	63	58
		2001	78	73	78	55	78	65	78	70	78	68
		2001*	79	73	79	55	79	65	79	70	79	68
	4	2000	95	75	95	74	95	68	95	78	95	80
		2001	96	75	96	82	96	75	96	85	96	80
		2001*	99	75	99	82	99	75	99	85	98	80
ROLLING TERRACE ES	2	2000	95	55	95	55	95	55	95	60	95	68
		2001	105	47	105	43	105	55	105	52	105	68
		2001*	107	47	107	43	107	55	107	52	107	68
	4	2000	108	54	108	57	107	46	107	53	107	62
		2001	69	67	69	74	69	75	69	71	69	87
		2001*	82	60	82	65	82	75	82	71	77	87
ROSEMARY HILLS ES	2	2000	166	82	166	68	166	77	165	70	165	76
		2001	171	82	171	82	170	77	172	79	172	76
		2001*	191	82	191	68	190	77	192	79	179	76

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MECH	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	COMP
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
ROSEMONT ES	2	2000	82	29	82	35	80	45	81	22	81	24
		2001	72	40	72	55	71	55	72	43	72	68
		2001*	76	40	76	55	75	55	76	39	74	68
	4	2000	59	54	59	65	57	53	57	58	57	80
		2001	53	67	53	65	53	61	53	58	53	53
		2001*	63	54	63	57	63	53	63	47	59	53
SALLY K. RIDE ES	2	2000	109	64	109	55	109	77	108	60	108	58
		2001	117	55	117	55	117	65	118	43	118	40
		2001*	128	47	128	43	128	60	129	43	128	31
	4	2000	108	60	108	57	107	61	108	65	108	53
		2001	112	75	112	74	111	68	112	65	112	62
		2001*	125	67	125	74	124	68	125	65	118	62
SEQUOYAH ES	2	2000	89	55	89	68	89	87	89	79	89	83
		2001	93	55	93	55	93	65	93	79	93	83
		2001*	98	47	98	43	98	65	98	70	96	83
	4	2000	97	84	97	74	96	75	95	78	92	71
		2001	111	75	111	74	111	82	111	78	111	80
		2001*	118	75	118	74	118	75	118	78	110	80
SEVEN LOCKS ES	2	2000	49	73	49	68	49	87	49	79	49	90
		2001	38	82	38	68	38	87	38	87	38	83
		2001*	42	78	42	68	42	87	42	87	39	83
	4	2000	45	84	45	90	44	82	44	91	45	87
		2001	43	84	43	82	43	82	43	91	43	87
		2001*	47	84	47	82	47	75	47	91	44	87

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	MDIAN
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
SHERWOOD ES	2	2000	67	64	67	68	67	77	68	79	68	76
		2001	73	64	73	55	73	87	73	70	73	76
		2001*	80	55	80	55	80	82	80	60	79	68
	4	2000	61	84	61	82	61	75	61	91	61	94
		2001	61	67	61	74	61	82	61	78	61	80
		2001*	77	60	77	65	77	68	77	71	72	71
SLIGO CREEK ES	2	2000	47	64	47	55	47	65	54	70	54	76
		2001	102	73	102	55	102	65	106	70	106	76
		2001*	110	64	110	55	110	65	114	60	113	68
	4	2000	74	60	74	57	73	61	74	71	74	71
		2001	68	54	68	65	68	53	68	58	68	71
		2001*	80	54	80	57	80	46	80	53	77	71
SOMERSET ES	2	2000	72	90	72	95	71	87	71	87	71	83
		2001	72	90	72	82	72	94	72	79	72	83
		2001*	74	90	74	82	74	91	74	79	74	83
	4	2000	49	84	49	82	49	89	49	85	49	80
		2001	63	84	63	90	63	89	63	91	63	80
		2001*	72	84	72	90	72	89	72	91	69	80
SOUTH LAKE ES	2	2000	80	40	80	43	80	65	80	35	80	49
		2001	74	55	74	43	73	65	74	43	74	49
		2001*	79	47	79	43	78	55	79	43	77	49
	4	2000	55	54	55	40	55	40	57	42	57	53
		2001	79	60	78	57	79	68	79	58	79	53
		2001*	89	60	88	65	89	68	88	53	82	53

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	MDIAN
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
STEDWICK ES	2	2000	80	73	80	68	80	77	81	70	81	76
		2001	79	73	79	68	79	87	79	70	79	49
		2001*	84	69	84	55	84	87	84	70	84	49
	4	2000	85	67	85	65	85	61	86	71	85	62
		2001	93	60	93	57	93	61	93	53	93	37
		2001*	98	60	98	57	97	61	98	53	97	37
STONE MILL ES	2	2000	124	82	124	82	124	87	124	87	124	83
		2001	113	82	113	82	113	94	113	94	113	90
		2001*	116	82	116	82	116	94	115	94	115	90
	4	2000	113	84	113	82	113	82	112	91	112	87
		2001	120	84	120	82	120	89	118	85	120	80
		2001*	133	84	133	82	127	89	131	85	125	80
STONEGATE ES	2	2000	70	73	70	82	70	94	70	79	70	94
		2001	74	73	74	82	74	94	74	87	74	94
		2001*	80	73	80	82	80	94	80	83	80	90
	4	2000	81	84	81	82	80	68	82	78	81	71
		2001	78	84	78	82	78	89	78	78	78	87
		2001*	87	84	87	82	87	89	87	78	83	87
STRATHMORE ES	4	2000	151	60	151	65	151	46	151	53	151	45
		2001	123	54	123	57	123	46	123	42	123	45
		2001*	140	49	140	49	140	46	140	42	126	45

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	MDIAN
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
STRAWBERRY KNOLL ES	2	2000	83	64	83	68	82	65	86	43	86	49
		2001	81	55	81	55	81	65	81	43	81	40
		2001*	87	47	87	55	87	65	87	43	86	36
	4	2000	69	60	69	65	69	61	71	71	71	71
		2001	65	67	65	65	65	68	65	71	65	53
		2001*	74	67	74	65	74	68	74	71	68	53
SUMMIT HALL ES	2	2000	70	29	70	35	70	55	70	29	68	31
		2001	78	47	78	43	78	65	77	43	78	49
		2001*	81	40	81	43	81	55	80	39	78	49
	4	2000	73	45	73	40	73	53	73	53	73	62
		2001	75	45	75	49	74	61	75	53	75	45
		2001*	96	41	96	40	95	46	96	42	80	45
TAKOMA PARK ES	2	2000	163	73	163	82	163	65	166	70	165	83
		2001	135	73	135	68	135	77	135	79	135	83
		2001*	135	73	135	68	135	77	135	79	135	83
THURGOOD MARSHALL ES	2	2000	65	73	65	68	65	77	66	79	66	68
		2001	84	64	84	55	84	77	84	60	84	58
		2001*	87	64	87	55	87	77	87	60	87	58
	4	2000	73	75	73	74	73	61	72	78	72	62
		2001	73	75	73	82	73	68	73	85	73	80
		2001*	86	75	86	82	86	68	87	85	80	80

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
TRAVILAH ES	2	2000	88	82	88	82	88	87	88	87	88	83
		2001	91	82	91	82	91	94	91	79	91	76
		2001*	96	78	96	82	96	87	96	79	96	76
	4	2000	85	84	85	82	85	82	85	91	85	87
		2001	95	84	95	90	95	89	95	91	95	87
		2001*	101	84	101	90	101	89	99	85	99	87
TWINBROOK ES	2	2000	67	55	67	43	67	65	67	70	67	68
		2001	72	55	72	43	71	65	71	60	72	76
		2001*	81	55	81	43	80	65	80	60	76	76
	4	2000	71	60	71	65	71	75	71	58	71	62
		2001	77	49	77	65	77	75	77	53	77	53
		2001*	95	45	95	57	95	68	95	42	77	53
VIERS MILL ES	2	2000	109	47	109	43	109	55	109	52	109	49
		2001	110	40	110	43	110	55	110	52	110	68
		2001*	116	40	116	43	116	55	116	52	116	68
	4	2000	81	54	81	57	80	46	81	53	80	53
		2001	69	60	69	65	69	53	69	65	69	62
		2001*	85	54	85	57	85	53	85	58	81	62
WASHINGTON GROVE ES	2	2000	45	64	45	68	45	65	45	60	45	68
		2001	52	47	52	43	52	55	52	43	52	49
		2001*	58	47	58	43	58	55	58	48	53	49
	4	2000	45	67	45	74	45	68	45	71	45	62
		2001	50	67	50	65	50	75	50	65	50	53
		2001*	62	60	62	65	62	68	62	56	52	53

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	COMP	MDIAN
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
WATERS LANDING ES	2	2000	110	64	110	55	108	77	110	52	108	58
		2001	82	55	82	68	82	77	82	52	82	49
		2001*	84	55	84	68	84	77	84	52	84	49
	4	2000	90	67	90	74	92	82	92	71	92	71
		2001	103	67	103	65	103	75	103	65	103	62
		2001*	111	60	111	65	112	75	111	58	109	62
WATKINS MILL ES	2	2000	81	64	81	55	81	65	81	52	81	40
		2001	89	47	89	55	88	65	89	60	89	58
		2001*	93	47	93	55	91	65	92	60	91	58
	4	2000	80	54	80	57	79	46	79	65	56	53
		2001	74	75	74	74	74	68	74	71	74	71
		2001*	83	67	83	74	83	61	83	65	76	71
WAYSIDE ES	2	2000	111	82	111	82	111	94	110	87	110	90
		2001	93	82	93	82	93	94	92	87	93	83
		2001*	97	82	97	82	97	94	96	87	96	83
	4	2000	85	84	85	90	85	89	85	91	85	87
		2001	104	84	104	82	104	89	104	85	104	80
		2001*	106	80	106	82	106	89	105	85	105	80
WELLER ROAD ES	2	2000	97	40	97	43	97	77	97	43	97	58
		2001	96	47	96	55	96	77	96	52	96	68
		2001*	101	47	101	43	101	77	101	43	101	58
	4	2000	83	60	83	65	83	68	83	71	83	80
		2001	96	54	96	57	96	61	96	65	96	80
		2001*	102	54	102	57	102	61	102	58	98	80

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
WESTBROOK ES	2	2000	51	82	51	68	51	65	51	87	51	76
		2001	46	90	46	82	46	87	46	87	46	76
		2001*	47	90	47	82	47	87	47	87	47	76
	4	2000	43	92	43	96	43	95	43	91	43	87
		2001	42	92	42	90	42	95	42	91	42	80
		2001*	53	92	53	90	53	95	53	85	47	80
WESTOVER ES	2	2000	61	55	61	55	60	77	61	60	60	58
		2001	37	73	37	55	37	77	37	70	37	76
		2001*	43	64	43	43	43	77	43	60	42	68
	4	2000	43	84	43	65	43	75	43	71	43	71
		2001	54	84	54	74	54	75	54	78	54	71
		2001*	63	75	63	74	63	68	63	71	56	71
WHEATON WOODS ES	2	2000	86	47	86	55	86	65	88	43	88	49
		2001	88	40	88	43	88	77	88	52	88	58
		2001*	90	40	90	43	90	77	89	52	89	58
	4	2000	83	38	83	40	83	53	84	47	84	53
		2001	94	45	94	49	95	68	95	42	95	53
		2001*	99	45	99	49	99	68	100	42	98	53
WHETSTONE ES	2	2000	90	47	90	55	90	65	89	60	89	76
		2001	94	55	94	68	94	77	94	60	94	76
		2001*	98	51	98	68	98	77	98	60	95	76
	4	2000	72	54	72	65	72	53	72	65	72	62
		2001	74	60	74	57	74	68	73	71	74	71
		2001*	82	60	82	57	82	68	81	71	76	71

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
WILLIAM TYLER PAGE ES	2	2000	45	47	45	43	45	65	45	60	45	49
		2001	64	47	64	55	64	77	64	60	64	68
		2001*	69	47	69	55	69	65	69	60	67	68
	4	2000	36	67	36	57	36	75	36	78	36	71
		2001	48	60	48	65	48	75	48	58	48	71
		2001*	58	57	58	65	58	68	58	53	48	71
WOODACRES ES	2	2000	81	82	81	82	81	87	81	79	81	68
		2001	100	90	100	82	100	87	100	87	100	83
		2001*	102	90	102	82	102	87	102	87	102	80
	4	2000	87	92	87	90	87	82	86	91	86	80
		2001	73	92	73	96	73	82	73	91	73	87
		2001*	79	84	79	90	79	82	79	91	79	87
WOODFIELD ES	2	2000	75	73	75	55	75	65	75	79	75	76
		2001	77	73	77	68	77	77	77	79	77	90
		2001*	78	73	78	68	78	77	78	79	78	87
	4	2000	83	75	83	82	83	75	83	85	83	80
		2001	76	84	76	82	76	82	76	85	76	87
		2001*	81	84	81	82	81	82	81	85	80	87
WOODLIN ES	2	2000	97	55	97	68	97	65	97	70	97	68
		2001	77	73	77	68	76	77	77	60	77	68
		2001*	83	64	83	68	82	77	83	60	77	68
	4	2000	66	84	66	74	66	68	66	78	65	71
		2001	81	84	81	90	81	82	81	85	81	62
		2001*	82	84	82	90	82	82	82	82	81	62

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
WYNGATE ES	2	2000	88	90	88	82	88	87	86	87	86	76
		2001	69	90	69	82	69	87	69	79	69	76
		2001*	74	86	74	82	74	87	74	79	74	76
	4	2000	87	84	87	90	87	75	88	91	88	87
		2001	49	92	49	90	49	89	49	91	49	87
		2001*	75	84	75	82	75	89	75	91	67	87

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR MIDDLE SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
ARGYLE MS	6	2000	165	52	165	51	167	50	167	55	166	60
		2001	177	58	177	51	178	50	177	60	178	53
		2001*	202	52	202	48	203	42	201	55	180	53
JOHN T. BAKER MS	6	2000	208	70	208	63	206	69	209	77	209	74
		2001	235	64	235	57	235	60	235	72	235	53
		2001*	269	64	269	57	269	60	269	72	240	53
BENJAMIN BANNEKER MS	6	2000	286	64	286	63	281	60	292	66	288	53
		2001	271	64	270	57	263	60	273	66	273	60
		2001*	272	64	271	57	264	60	274	66	274	60
BRIGGS CHANEY MS	6	2000	209	64	209	63	208	60	209	72	209	60
		2001	206	58	206	57	203	50	206	60	206	47
		2001*	262	58	262	57	258	50	261	60	215	47
CABIN JOHN MS	6	2000	269	82	269	77	268	79	269	87	268	87
		2001	251	82	251	84	250	88	251	87	226	87
		2001*	275	76	275	77	273	79	275	87	258	80
CHEVY CHASE ES	6	2000	85	88	85	84	85	88	85	91	85	80
		2001	72	88	72	84	72	79	72	87	72	74
		2001*	87	82	87	84	87	79	87	82	78	74
ROBERTO CLEMENTE MS	6	2000	200	58	200	51	201	60	201	60	200	53
		2001	228	58	228	57	228	60	229	60	229	53
		2001*	261	52	261	51	261	60	260	55	242	53

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR MIDDLE SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ	READ	LANG	LANG	LANG	LANG	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH
			NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN	MECH	MECH	NO.	MDIAN	NO.	MDIAN
			TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE	TSTED	%TILE
EASTERN MS	6	2000	133	52	133	51	133	50	133	55	133	47
		2001	245	76	246	77	246	79	246	82	246	67
		2001*	275	70	276	63	276	69	277	72	263	67
WILLIAM FARQUHAR MS	6	2000	191	70	191	70	189	79	191	82	191	80
		2001	193	76	193	70	193	69	192	77	193	67
		2001*	226	76	226	70	225	69	223	77	201	67
FOREST OAK MS	6	2000	232	70	232	63	222	60	234	72	230	53
		2001	264	64	264	57	262	69	263	66	265	53
		2001*	310	58	310	57	304	60	309	60	277	53
ROBERT FROST MS	6	2000	279	88	279	90	278	88	279	91	279	87
		2001	321	82	321	84	321	88	322	91	322	87
		2001*	352	82	352	84	352	88	350	87	334	87
GAITHERSBURG MS	6	2000	189	70	189	70	187	69	189	72	189	47
		2001	182	70	182	70	178	69	182	72	182	53
		2001*	217	64	217	63	212	60	217	72	194	53
HERBERT HOOVER MS	6	2000	292	82	292	84	271	79	294	91	294	87
		2001	321	82	321	84	320	79	321	91	321	80
		2001*	369	82	369	77	367	69	369	87	346	80
JOHN POOLE MS	6	2000	124	70	124	63	105	60	122	82	120	74
		2001	107	76	107	70	107	69	107	77	107	60
		2001*	132	70	132	70	131	69	130	77	119	60
JULIUS WEST MS	6	2000	260	70	260	70	259	69	260	72	259	67
		2001	297	64	297	63	296	69	297	77	297	60
		2001*	338	61	338	63	320	69	339	72	305	60

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR MIDDLE SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
FRANCIS S. KEY MS	6	2000	295	58	295	57	298	50	298	60	297	53
		2001	291	52	291	51	290	50	290	55	291	42
		2001*	317	47	317	45	314	50	314	50	294	42
MARTIN L. KING MS	6	2000	259	70	259	63	259	79	259	72	259	67
		2001	281	64	281	63	281	69	282	72	282	53
		2001*	320	58	320	57	320	69	321	66	321	47
KINGSVIEW MS	6	2000	326	64	326	70	324	69	327	77	326	67
		2001	333	70	333	70	328	69	334	82	334	67
		2001*	393	70	393	63	376	69	394	77	357	67
COL. E. BROOKE LEE MS	6	2000	241	82	241	77	238	79	244	82	243	74
		2001	156	52	156	45	155	50	156	60	156	53
		2001*	216	47	216	45	205	42	212	53	167	53
MONTGOMERY VILLAGE MS	6	2000	194	58	194	57	194	60	197	66	197	53
		2001	180	58	180	57	180	50	182	60	182	53
		2001*	218	50	218	51	218	50	220	55	188	53
NEELSVILLE MS	6	2000	250	58	250	51	249	50	250	60	249	53
		2001	256	64	256	63	256	69	255	66	256	60
		2001*	281	64	281	57	281	69	280	60	264	60
NORTH BETHESDA MS	6	2000	163	82	163	84	162	79	163	87	161	74
		2001	156	82	156	70	156	79	157	87	157	74
		2001*	184	82	184	70	181	69	184	87	166	74

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR MIDDLE SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
NORTH CHEVY CHASE ES	6	2000	82	82	82	77	82	88	82	87	82	80
		2001	78	82	78	84	77	79	78	87	78	74
		2001*	79	82	79	84	78	79	79	87	79	74
PARKLAND MS	6	2000	305	47	305	45	303	50	307	50	307	47
		2001	348	47	348	45	347	50	347	55	348	47
		2001*	402	42	402	39	402	46	402	50	359	47
THOMAS W. PYLE MS	6	2000	358	88	358	84	358	79	360	91	360	80
		2001	326	88	326	84	325	79	329	91	329	87
		2001*	379	82	379	84	376	79	381	87	354	87
REDLAND MS	6	2000	236	70	236	70	211	69	236	82	235	67
		2001	256	70	256	63	255	69	256	77	256	60
		2001*	281	70	281	63	280	69	281	77	265	60
RIDGEVIEW MS	6	2000	264	70	264	70	1	60	263	72	1	67
		2001	252	76	252	77	250	79	252	82	252	67
		2001*	305	70	305	70	301	69	302	77	274	67
ROCKY HILL MS	6	2000	232	76	232	70	228	69	231	77	231	67
		2001	187	76	187	70	181	69	187	72	187	53
		2001*	223	70	223	63	217	60	222	66	202	53
ROSA PARKS MS	6	2000	281	76	281	70	269	79	280	82	278	74
		2001	280	76	280	77	280	79	280	77	280	67
		2001*	327	70	327	70	327	79	327	77	294	67

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000

MCPS CTBS MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK FOR MIDDLE SCHOOLS (STANDARD ADMINISTRATION)
 SPRING 2000 & 2001, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE CALCULATIONS FOR 2001

=====

SCHOOL	GRADE	YEAR	READ NO. TSTED	READ MDIAN %TILE	LANG NO. TSTED	LANG MDIAN %TILE	LANG MECH NO. TSTED	LANG MECH MDIAN %TILE	MATH NO. TSTED	MATH MDIAN %TILE	MATH COMP NO. TSTED	MATH COMP MDIAN %TILE
SHADY GROVE MS	6	2000	218	70	218	63	218	69	216	72	215	60
		2001	194	64	194	57	194	60	194	72	194	53
		2001*	236	58	236	51	235	60	236	66	212	53
SIL. SP. INTER. MS	6	2000	232	52	232	57	231	60	232	55	232	42
		2001	231	58	231	57	229	50
		2001*	280	58	280	51	262	50	0	.	0	.
SLIGO MS	6	2000	344	52	344	57	342	50	340	55	339	53
		2001	346	52	346	57	344	50	345	60	346	47
		2001*	383	52	383	51	379	42	379	55	353	47
TAKOMA PARK MS	6	2000	290	76	290	77	288	69	291	87	290	74
		2001	267	88	267	84	267	79	267	87	267	74
		2001*	301	82	301	84	297	69	299	87	291	74
TILDEN MS	6	2000	170	76	170	77	170	79	170	87	170	74
		2001	176	82	176	77	175	79	176	87	176	74
		2001*	198	76	198	77	197	79	198	82	181	74
WESTLAND MS	6	2000	183	82	183	77	183	79	183	87	183	80
		2001	199	82	199	84	198	79	199	87	199	80
		2001*	238	76	238	77	237	69	238	82	224	74
WHITE OAK MS	6	2000	224	64	224	63	223	69	224	72	224	67
		2001	237	58	237	57	236	60	237	66	237	47
		2001*	279	52	279	51	275	50	278	58	244	47
EARLE B. WOOD MS	6	2000	255	64	255	63	254	69	255	72	255	67
		2001	278	70	278	70	278	69	278	77	278	60
		2001*	316	64	316	63	316	69	316	72	288	60

* RESULTS ACCORDING TO A NEW METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MEDIAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK BY MSDE, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THAT USED IN YEAR 2000